

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
RECEIVED
ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION
NOV 12 2001
CHARLESTON, SC

2001 JUN 21 A 10:37

Beverly C. Laribo,)
Plaintiff,)
v.)
Michael J. Astrue,)
Commissioner of Social Security,)
Defendant.)

Civil Action No.: 8:06-1839-SB-BHH
ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the Plaintiff's action for judicial review, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security, which denied the Plaintiff's claim for disability insurance benefits and supplemental security income. The record includes a report and recommendation ("R&R") of a United States Magistrate Judge, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2)(a). In the R&R, filed on May 23, 2007, Magistrate Judge Bruce H. Hendricks recommends that the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security be affirmed. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), a party may file written objections to an R&R within ten days of being served with a copy. To date, no written objections have been filed.

Absent timely objection from a dissatisfied party, a district court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, a Magistrate Judge's factual or legal conclusions. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). Here, because no objections were filed, the Court need not conduct a de novo review of any portion of the R&R. Accordingly, based on the foregoing, the Court hereby adopts the Magistrate Judge's R&R as the Order of the Court, and it is

ORDERED that the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security is affirmed, and this matter is ended.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



The Honorable Sol Blatt, Jr.
Senior United States District Judge

June 26, 2007
Charleston, South Carolina